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Asadai Vision

The Asadai vision is realized by

- Powerful knowledge extraction tools,
- Universally and unobtrusively deployed,
- For retrieving relevant information, and
- For discovering meaningful patterns (such as forecasts, and surprising associations)
- In large, heterogeneous collections of documents and data.

These knowledge extraction tools will be used

- For deep exploration and discovery in highly-valuable special collections, then
- As essential functionality to support knowledge workers, then
- Universally.
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1. What do we do?

- Knowledge management, specializing in information extraction from text

- Extracting: nuggets & needles

- Extracting: patterns, trends, and meanings


- Progress in mining: more and more from less and less
2. What’s out there now?

- For the most part, relatively primitive systems, known to perform poorly

- The better performers rely on special cases, e.g., Google on HTML <a...</a>

- Specifics to follow
3. What’s our product?

- Called the Core of Discovery

- Rich, multi-faceted capabilities, including features for
  - Plato problem
  - Raynaud problem
  - Practice problem
The Plato problem

Find documents (nuggets, needles) about X where 'X' isn't present, and rank them by relevance.

Why? Because they are relevant and valuable.

- Needed: concept searching, not key word searching
- Can you do this with your favorite search engine?
- With your organizational archives?
- The Core of Discovery finds concepts in the data and searches on them

Also: Find documents relevant to this document; find words relevant to this document but not present in it; find better words for searching.
The Raynaud problem

Find interesting rules not present in any one document.

Why? Because, e.g., you are interested in learning how to treat Raynaud’s disease.

- Hypothesis finding
- Reading is necessary
- Automated support
- Documented, non-automated successes
The practice problem

Are Xs and Ys different and if so, how?

Why? Because you want to know, e.g., if doctor X treats patients differently than doctor Y

- Homer/Lewis system
- Patterns and visualization
- Demonstrated effectiveness in controlled experiments
- Speed and quality
4. Who needs it?

- Ultimately everyone

- Developing market
  Rôle of Autonomy and others

- Rapid growth of late

- Estimates of > $3 billion industry within 4 years
  ... and no dominant player
4. Who needs it? (con’t.)

Near-term opportunities include

- Investment and market research
- Secondary publishing
- Legal services
- Content providers, special collections
4. Who needs it? (con’t.)

...as well as

- Pharmaceutical companies
- Government agencies
  
  NB Beginnings in the Coast Guard: Congressional Qs & As
- Museums, archives, records managers
- Anyone with a commitment to SGML or XML documents
4. Who needs it? (con’t.”)

Products such as

- Portals, vortals
- Document management systems
- Collections management systems
- Electronic notebooks
- Other search engines
5. What’s the development strategy?

- Incremental, able to be profitable within 2 years or sooner
- Identify customers for whom the Core is a high valued added product
- Substantial beta sites operating within 6 months
- Focus on selling through VARs and OEMs (indirect sales channels)
- Hosting and ASP models
- Focus on continual development and improvement
- Scale up after substantial demonstration of market success
  - Sales & marketing
  - Product development
6. Who’s on the team?

- Steven O. Kimbrough, Ph.D.
- Garett O. Dworman, Ph.D.
- Christopher V. Jones, Ph.D.
- James C. Carpenter
- Chris V. Jacobs
7. What about the competition?

Pattern–, trend–oriented services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>CoD</th>
<th>Autonomy</th>
<th>Excalibur</th>
<th>Verity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Empirical Thesaurus</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice problem</td>
<td>Yes (Lewis)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plato problem</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOTC</td>
<td>Planned†</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concept maps</td>
<td>Planned</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Prototyped, published descriptions.
7. What about the competition? (con’t.)

Retrieval–oriented services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>CoD</th>
<th>Autonomy</th>
<th>Excalibur</th>
<th>Verity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boolean searches</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document ranking</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>... with DCB</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>... other concept</td>
<td>No, but</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metadata</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iterative refinement</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple-service</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D_s</td>
<td>D)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T_s</td>
<td>D)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8. What do we need?

- Product development: document preparation, user interfaces, API tightening, large-scale testing

- Business development and customer/beta support

- Sales, marketing, ... business launch

- Investor relations